

Reasoning Over 2D and 3D Directional Relations in OWL: A Rule Based Approach



BATSAKIS SOTIRIOS
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF CRETE

RULEML13
JULY 2013, SEATTLE WA

Problem Description




- Representing directional relations (e.g. “North”) in OWL poses several challenges
 - Relations have specific semantics that must be represented
 - ✦ Use dedicated spatial reasoners
 - Need for additional software besides standard tools
 - Reduces reusability, modifications both on representation and software are required
 - ✦ Embedding reasoning into ontology [Batsakis & Petrakis, RuleML11]
 - Representing Cone shaped directional relations (CSD-9)
 - Complicated representation
 - No 3D representation

Proposed solution



- Decompose CSD-9 relations to sets of independent relations
 - Represent each CSD-9 relation as pair of new relations
 - ✦ NW becomes N and W
 - Reasoning for each set of relations separately
 - Obtain resulting CSD-9 relation from pairs of inferred new relations
 - Straightforward extension to 3D

CSD-9 relations




Reasoning over CSD-9 relations



- **Based on Path Consistency**
 - Compose and intersect existing relations until
 - ✦ Fixed point is reached
 - ✦ Inconsistency is detected
 - Implemented using SWRL
 - ✦ Compositions of relations
 - $N(x,y) \wedge NW(y,z) \rightarrow (N \cup NW)(x,z)$
 - ✦ Intersections of relations
 - $(N \cup NE)(x,y) \wedge (NE \cup E)(x,y) \rightarrow NE(x,y)$
 - ✦ Additional relations required besides basic CSD-9
 - ✦ Complicated representation and reasoning
 - 33 relations and 964 rules

Decomposition: North-South Relations



Decomposition: East-West Relations



EqV

W

E

EqV

Reasoning



- Convert CSD-9 relations to new sets
 - E.g. $SW(x,y) \rightarrow S(x,y) \wedge W(x,y)$
- Apply Path Consistency on each set
 - Compositions
 - ✦ Composition Tables defined
 - Similar to adding vectors
 - ✦ New relations representing disjunctions are required
 - ✦ Detected using closure method
 - Intersect and compose relations until fix point is reached (i.e., all compositions and intersections yield relations into existing set)
 - Intersections

CSD-9 Decomposition



- Each CSD-9 relation is decomposed to new relations
 - $N_{\text{CSD-9}}(x,y) \leftrightarrow N(x,y) \wedge \text{EqV}(x,y)$
 - $\text{NE}_{\text{CSD-9}}(x,y) \leftrightarrow N(x,y) \wedge E(x,y)$
 - $E_{\text{CSD-9}}(x,y) \leftrightarrow \text{EqH}(x,y) \wedge E(x,y)$
 - $\text{SE}_{\text{CSD-9}}(x,y) \leftrightarrow S(x,y) \wedge E(x,y)$
 - $S_{\text{CSD-9}}(x,y) \leftrightarrow S(x,y) \wedge \text{EqV}(x,y)$
 - $\text{SW}_{\text{CSD-9}}(x,y) \leftrightarrow S(x,y) \wedge W(x,y)$
 - $W_{\text{CSD-9}}(x,y) \leftrightarrow \text{EqH}(x,y) \wedge W(x,y)$
 - $\text{NW}_{\text{CSD-9}}(x,y) \leftrightarrow N(x,y) \wedge W(x,y)$
 - $\text{Id}_{\text{CSD-9}}(x,y) \leftrightarrow \text{IdH}(x,y) \wedge \text{IdV}(x,y)$

Composition table (North-South)



Relations	N	S	EqH	IdH
N	N	N,S,EqH,IdH	N,EqH	N
S	N,S,EqH,IdH	S	S,EqH	S
EqH	N,EqH	S,EqH	N,S,EqH,IdH	EqH
IdH	N	S	EqH	IdH

Composition table (East-West)



Relations	E	W	EqV	IdV
E	E	E,W,EqV,IdV	E,EqV	E
W	E,W,EqV,IdV	W	W,EqV	W
EqV	E,EqV	W,EqV	E,W,EqV,IdV	EqV
IdV	E	W	EqV	IdV

Reasoning Rules and Axioms



- Compositions derived from composition tables
 - $N(x,y) \wedge N(y,z) \rightarrow N(x,z)$
 - $E(x,y) \wedge EqV(y,z) \rightarrow (E \cup EqV)(x,z)$
- Additional axioms
 - Inverse
 - ✦ North-South
 - ✦ East-West
 - Symmetric
 - ✦ EqV
 - ✦ EqH
 - Disjoints basic 4 relations on each set

Reasoning implementation



- Applying closure method for detecting relations
 - 7 relations required for each set
 - ✦ Disjunction of all relations can be removed
 - ✦ 52 rules and axioms required
 - Compositions, Intersections, Inverse, Symmetric relations
 - Combine 2 sets
 - ✦ 14 Relations and 106 axioms
 - ✦ Important reduction compared to direct CSD-9 implementation

3D extension: Height relations




Up

Equal-Height

Equal-Height

Down



Composition table (Up-Down)



Relations	U	D	EqHe	IdHe
U	U	U,D,EqHe,IdHe	U,EqHe	U
D	U,D,EqHe,IdHe	D	D,EqHe	D
EqHe	U,EqHe	D,EqHe	U,D,EqHe,IdHe	EqHe
IdHe	U	D	EqHe	IdHe

3D reasoning



- Define composition table for additional relations
 - Similar to 2D sets
- Detect required relations
 - Apply closure method
 - ✦ Yields 7 relations
 - Define rules and axioms
 - ✦ Implement path consistency
 - Compositions
 - Intersections
 - 52 axioms

Combined 3D representation



- **Between 2 points 3 relations are asserted**
 - North-South
 - East-West
 - Up-down
- **Reasoning using SWRL and OWL axioms for each set**
 - Compositions & Intersections
 - 21 relations and 158 rules and axioms for all 3 sets
 - CSD-9 relations require 964 axioms only for 2D case

Quantitative evaluation



- Random points created
- Measuring average reasoning times

Points	Reasoning time		
	2D	3D	CSD-9
200	299.8	268.6	386.0
400	405.8	685.8	782.4
600	865.2	1099.0	1066.4
800	1053.6	1407.2	1396.4
1000	1526.2	2261.4	2380.2

Conclusions



- **Integrated representation of relations and their semantics**
 - Reasoning embedded into the ontology using SWRL
 - Compliance with standards
 - Use existing tools
 - ✦ No additional software
 - Reusability
 - Compact representation compared to CSD-9
 - ✦ Fewer relations
 - ✦ Much fewer rules
 - ✦ Faster reasoning (30% for 2D reasoning)
 - ✦ 3D representation

Thank You



Questions?